

LAW OFFICES OF
McGINN & GIBB, PLLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376

E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SENDER'S E-MAIL: JHOWARD@ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG 18 2005

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER†
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDERT
JAMES E. HOWARD†
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†

†MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

ANNAPOLIS, MD OFFICE
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III
MOHAMMAD S. RAHMANT
PAMELA M. RILEY†
DUANE N. MOORE†

August 18, 2005

VIA FACSIMILE

To: Examiner Christy L. Novacek
Group Art Unit: 2822
U.S.P.T.O.

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re: Enclosed Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.116
U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/642,667
Docket No.: 03186-1/2002-239455

Dear Examiner Novacek:

Enclosed for filing is an Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.116 in response to the Final Office Action mailed on May 18, 2005, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,


James E. Howard

JEH/geb

Enclosures

Total pages transmitted: 10

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Yoshihiro Satoh

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG 18 2005

Serial No.: 10/642,667

Group Art Unit: 2822

Filed: August 19, 2003

Examiner: Christy L. Novacek

For: METHOD FOR FABRICATING A SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE HAVING A
TAPERED-MESA SIDE-WALL FILMHonorable Commissioner of Patents
Box AF
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 18, 2005, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-11 and 13-29 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 11 and 29 are amended to more clearly define the invention and claim 12 is canceled. Claims 1, 6, and 11 are independent.